USD#

342

USD Form 150 2017-2018 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget - Lines 1 through 21

1.	. 2017-18 Adjusted FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at-risk.) (from Table I or Table IV)	=_	455.8
2.	Estimated 9-20-2017 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (f) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 12.0 + 0.0	=_	12.0
3.	. 2017-18 Total Adjusted FTE Enrollment including 4 yr old at risk (Line 1 + Line 2)	=_	467.8
4.	Estimated 9-20-2017 weighted low enrollment and high enrollment. (from line 3) 467.8 x 0.427176 factor (from Table II)	=_	199.8
5.	Estimated 2017-18 Bilingual Weighting (a) (b) A. (9/20/17 Contact Hrs 0.0 + 2/20/18 Contact Hrs 0.0) / 6 x 0.395 = 0.0 B. (9/20/17 ELL Headcount 0 + 2/20/18 ELL Hdct 0) x .185 = 0.0 Note: Bilingual weighting is based on the higher of contact hours or headcount.	=	0.0
6.	Estimated 2017-18 weighted Career Technical Education (CTE) weighting (c) (9/20/17 CTE contact hrs	=_	10.0
7.	Estimated 2017-18 At-Risk Student weighting (d) A. 9/20/17 Hdct		82.8
8.	. Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (from Table VI, Line 2)	=_	4.1
9.	Estimated 2017-18 School Facilities Weighting (e) 9/20/17 School Facilities FTE 12.0 + 2/20 School Facilities FTE 0.0 x 0.25	=_	3.0
10	0. Estimated 2017-18 Transportation Weighting (Table III, Line 6) ÷ \$4,006	=_	50.9
1	1. Estimated 2017-18 Ancillary School Facilities Weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeals \$4,006	=_	0.0
12	2. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (g) 861,365 ÷ \$4,006	=_	215.0
13	3. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amount approved by Board of Tax Appeals0_x .50 ÷ \$4,006	=_	0.0
14	4. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=_	0.0
15	5. Estimated 2017-18 Virtual State Aid (Table V, Line 4)	=_	\$0
16	6. Estimated 2017-2018 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 14 times BASE + Line 15) x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$4,139,800
17	7. Estimated Cost of Living weighting (Must have 31% LOB) \$0 (maximum allowed for this district) + \$4,006 (maximum)	=_	0.0
18	8. Total 2017-2018 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$4,139,800
19	9. 2017-18 Extraordinary Need State Aid (General Fund)	=_	\$0
20	0. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 18 + Line 19)	=_	\$4,139,800
L	ocal Option Budget See Form 155		
2	1. Estimated 2017-2018 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr Spec Ed (Lines 3 through 11 + 13 + 17) = 818.4 x 4490 = \$3674616 + 861,365 (Spec Ed)) =	\$4,535,981

TABLE I - Declining Enrollment Calculation	USD#	342	
September 20, 2016, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students; excludes Kindergarten.)		=	434.8
2. September 20, 2015, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students; excludes Kindergarten.)		=	428.5
3. FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 1 or 2).		=	434.8
 Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if no military provision; 	see Table IV.)	=	455.8
TABLE II - Low and High Enrollment Weighting			
Enrollment of District Factor			
0 - 99.9			
100 - 299.9 {[7337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1			
300 - 1,621.9 {[5406 - 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1			
1622 and over 0.03504			
E' is 2017-2018 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Page 1, line 3)			
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)			
[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1			
[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1			
[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 4597.675÷3642.4} -1			
1.261991-1			
0.261991			
TABLE III - Transportation Weighting			
1. Area of district in equate miles 0.20.2017			00.0
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-2017		=	90.0
2. All public pupils transported or for whom transportation is being made available 9-20-2017 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimated) 250.0 + 2-20-18	0.0	=	250.0
3. Index of density = Line 2 divided by Line 1	90.0	=	2.78
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine transportation weighting factor.		=	0.1867
5. Fatigrants described STE for transportation 2000 0047 when her of an ideal attribute and			
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-2017 number of resident students over 2.5 miles (line 2)	Line 10, Page 1)	=	46.7
6. Take higher of 2017-18 Trans. State Aid 187,080 or 2016-17 Trans. State Aid 203,771	(to Line 10, Page 1)	=	203,771
TABLE IV - 2017 Senate Bill 19 Military Provision	USD#	342	
1. Does the district qualify for the Military Provision (for declining enrollment)? NO	-		
2. 2014-15 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)		=	464.6
3. Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2014. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 2.	0.0		0.0
TEXCUIDES 4 VEOLO AT LISK AND KINDERDARIED UVIUSEDE ATTEAST 25 ETE OF 1% OF LINE 2	0.0	=	0.0
		=	428.5
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)			
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)			
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)	0.0	=	0.0
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015.	0.0	=	0.0
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4.	0.0	=	434.8
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016.		=	434.8
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)	0.0	= = =	434.8
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 6. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)		=	434.8
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 6. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.)		=	434.8 0.0 464.6
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 6. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.) 9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/16 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.)		=	434.8 0.0 464.6 428.5
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 6. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.) 9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/16 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.) 10. Sept. 20, 2016, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/17 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.)		=	434.8 0.0 464.6 428.5
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 4. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual) 7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2016. (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 6. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.) 9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/16 Est. FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.)		= = = =	

14. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if eligible for military provision.)

434.8

455.8

12. 2017-18 FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 9, 10, or 11).

13. 9/20/16 KDG Hdct as 1.0 21 + 2/20/17 Kindergarten Headcount as 1.0

	TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)	USD#	342
 Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for full-time st Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for part-time st Estimated Virtual Credits* (19 years and older). Estimated Virtual State Aid 		0.0 X \$5,000 0.0 X \$1,700 0.00 X \$709	= 0 = 0 = 0 = \$0
*No student shall be counted for more than 6 credits	s per year.		
technologies which predominately use internet-based occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in se progress toward the next grade level and matriculation (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in se	gram that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that eparate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic of from kindergarten through high school graduation; ubject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil as age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.		
	TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation	USD#	342
Estimated 2017-18 Free Lunch Percentage (1B divi A. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Headcount (from Open page) B. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch Headcount (from C	•	= <u>490</u> = <u>171</u>	= 34.90 %
 A. USD Level (i or ii) i. High-Density At-Risk >= 50% (1B times 10.5 ii. High-Density At-Risk >= 35% and < 50% (1 		= 0.0 0.0 0.0 = 4.1	= 4.1
approved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and dividing clock hours (b) FTE is computed by taking the total headcount of approved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and multiply headcount (c) x 0.185	bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an ring by factor of 0.185. Total	Line 5)	
. , .	dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). 20.0000 (Record or		
(d) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistar the higher of 10% of their 9/20 plus 2/20 enrollmer	nce plan for the school district. The "adjusted free lunch" for dis nt or 9/20 plus 2/20 free lunch headcount.	tricts guarantees	
	must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. Only eligible to school or construction of new facilities or new schools that were built pring and USD 475.		
(f) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FT of Education.	E. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Department		
(g) Comes from form 118 (line 20).			
(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the follo	wing Monday will be the official count date.)		

8/9/2017 2:06 PM Form 150 Page 3

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

e) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new classroom facility will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for example:		281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4,006 = \$282,022

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =		
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	154 s 133 s	students for the day students for the day students for the day students for the day
	divide by _ = _	73.3	class periods FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4,006 = $73,310$

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

1. Did the district receive Federal Impact Aid?

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.

Qualifying for Military Provision for Declining Enrollment (Goes to Table IV)

2. Did the district have a military dependent student enrolled during the 2016-17 school year?

3. Did the district decline in enrollment for 2016-17 school year compared to the 2015-16 school year?						
Qualifying for Military Provision for 2/20 weight	tings_					
Is the 2/20/18 Est. FTF Enrollment	0.0	>=25 or 1% of the 9/20/17 Est. FTF Enrollment	466.0	=	NO	

NO

8/9/2017 2:06 PM Form 150 Page 4